

**Analysis of the response to the consultation via the questionnaire**

Count of Campus	
Campus	Total
No preference	11
No response	23
One	120
Two	329
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>483</b>

Count of Age	
Age	Total
<20	26
20-39	158
40-59	226
60+	52
No response	21
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>483</b>

Count of Campus	
Campus	Total
No preference	2.3%
No response	4.8%
One	24.8%
Two	68.1%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Count of Age	
Age	Total
<20	5.4%
20-39	32.7%
40-59	46.8%
60+	10.8%
No response	4.3%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Count by Interest**

	Parent/Grandparent	Staff/Support	Student	Governor	Other
No preference	7	2	0	1	1
No response	21	0	0	0	2
One	51	46	1	18	4
Two	245	41	21	15	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>14</b>

*Staff and governors who are also parents are shown as staff or governors*

<b>Questionnaire circulation</b>	10300
<b>Return rate</b>	4.69%

**BARROW 2ND CONSULTATION - REASONS AND COMMENTS**

Reason 1 site		Reason 2 site		No preference		Other Comments	
Movement between 2 sites would create danger of road accidents, decrease teaching time, greater opportunities for truancy, confusion of pick-up & drop-off points	62	One site is too big/Smaller schools more personal and manageable	68	2 campuses might create rivalry. 1 campus too impersonal	2	We don't want an Academy	78
Difficulties of setting examinations co-ordinated between to sites would be huge. Administration and communication problems would also prove to be a problem	6	Upper & lower schools could be split	17	Currently living on Barrow Island so will send child to Walney School	2	No a true consultation as no choice other than an academy	1
If one school has to close and pupils move, then all Barrow schools should close and all pupils move - so it won't be just an isolated few that feel like new starters	2	2 sites gives greater choice, specialisms and facilities would be retained	43	Split site will probably cause weaker pupil achievement	1	Academies have no proven track record	2
Economies of scale allowed through a single site and lower maintenance costs	36	More attention to individual pupils/teachers will know individual pupils	23			What happens to the employees on each site	3
All children will feel that they belong to one Academy - two sites might as well be two schools.	7	1 large campus will increase traffic congestion	57			Improve existing schools instead	12
Students would migrate to the site, achieving better results and leaving the other to wither on the vine	1	Ease of access	2			More details of two site split	2
Removal of the competition of rival schools	4	Less disruption to surrounding communities	7			Having one site will cause the potential for bullying to increase.	3
Reduction in CO2 signature and better access points	1	The school management needs to know the child's (serious medical) needs individually	3			Concern over disruption to children's education	11
A single academy would instill pride	6	We are being bullied into making a two site choice	2			What will happen when sponsors money 'dries-up' in an economic downturn?	17
A single academy would be more successful in raising standards	2	2 sites with 800 places at each - do not increase size of Walney or St Bernard's	2			Time scale for opening academy too short/Delay opening to 2010 in order that all information is available and plans in place for transition	151
All services and support delivered to a high standard on a single site	12	Leave the schools as they are - don't cause stress and disruption to existing pupils	6			Lack of definite answers in many areas is making choices for prospective year 7 pupils very difficult.	5
Difficult to facilitate curriculum implementation and delivery across 2 sites - better and wider curriculum offer from 1 site	4	Keeps the two schools open for the 'community aspect' and makes use of the sporting facilities	22			Insufficient information in general (costs/uniforms/travel/curriculum etc)	35
Children would be allowed the experience of mixing with a wider range of younger and older individuals	2	More chance for competitive sport	7			Children may not be able to attend their chosen site (if it is a two site academy). If there is only one site then this is not an issue	1

Reason 1 site		Reason 2 site		No preference		Other Comments	
Do not want the disruption of building work on site	1	It will space out the students better than having them all in one building	1			No attention is being paid to Parkview's historic building	1
Simpler management and teaching structure	11	Spread the risk across two sites.	1			Will those already attending a particular site be allowed to remain there? Will their siblings attend the same site?	2
The chance for something totally new to be developed	3	1 school would not be able to cope with an intake for 3 schools.	1			Worry that the general public still believes that there will be 2 separate schools and not 1 school on 2 sites	1
Closing Thorncliffe (already a split site) will return traffic flow to main road and get rid of the tower which is inaccessible to the disabled	4	Easier to monitor/tackle behaviour	6			An envelope should be provided for return of the questionnaire	1
Single site Academy could enjoy potential spilt site advantages by having schools within schools	3	2 sites will be better placed to cope with future increases in population	15			Alfred Barrow seems to have been identified as a prime site for development for the new marina. Money generation for CCC	2
Road systems that supply all the schools need serious consideration	1	One large school will cause fighting between pupils of previously different schools	3			Academy would be better built at Parkview as it's got more access.	2
It would be a quicker process to set up the Academy on 1 site	2	Easier for children with learning difficulties as lower pupil population to deal with	5			Spend money on Alfred Barrow and employ stricter teachers	1
		2 sites will have lots of new up to date facilities	2			Unfortunately this process has been brought about for political reasons.	2
		Geographical advantage/nearer home	33			Schools should not be funded and run by people outside county council control.	6
		The two campus model could be more flexible in the on-going distribution of numbers on roll	1			Will the 300 extra places made available at Walney be filled by children travelling from Barrow town centre.	2
		Two sites will mean more jobs	5			The county council will do as it pleases not matter what public opinion says.	12
						The LA has not been pro-active in 'spreading the good news' about the academy	1
						Chair of Governors (Parkview) - the academy has already made a huge improvement in both KS3 and KS4 results....instilling enthusiasm in students and the teaching establishment.	1
						One site needs to be ready for 1200 students for when the 'penny drops' as it is the only practicable solution. A dual site may work in a small community such as the Isle of Sheppey but is not appropriate for Barrow	1

Reason 1 site		Reason 2 site		No preference		Other comments	
						Trying to open in a rush in Sept 2009 may cause parents to apply to other schools causing the academy to become a sink school	2
						One site model allows the opportunity to be up to date with all facilities.	1
						I think that the document has offered a balanced view and that the people making decisions have listened to those who will be most affected by the changes.	2
						Improvements need to be made in the LEA's reviews of school management competency.	1
						Teachers are leaving current schools as they do not want to work in an Academy.	1
						Good work has been done by the head & staff of Thorncliffe to take it out of special measures - they should be allowed to continue.	6
						Neither option gives enough thought to the possible rising birth rate and inward migration.	1
						Will need heads of department on both sites if having two campuses	1
						Please keep residents informed as well as parents	1
						Speculation that new build on Parkview will be immediately behind the houses in Horndale Avenue.	2
						Project Management team and Sponsors should be at consultation meetings.	2
						Do not destroy the beautiful architecture of the existing schools	4
						Why is George Hastwell school's location not included for consideration	1
						Concerned that Victoria Road will become link corridor between the two sites	1
						The Academy should be technology and engineering focused given the nature of the area.	2
						Decisions need to be made as soon as possible to avoid pupil and staff disengagement	2
						Concern over many children crossing the bridge	1
						Build the new school before closing any of the old ones	5
						There is a lot of room at Parkview site to have a proper bus terminus built.	2
						Calls for a delay in opening will simply be extended next year - a decision needs to be now	2

Reason 1 site		Reason 2 site		No preference		Other comments	
						OSANFS are impeding parents understanding of the consequences of the two site option	4
						Local pressure groups are ignoring the fact that secondary education in Barrow is a disgrace	1
						Consultation biased to 2 site option	4
						How do I apply for the extra places at Walney & St Bernard's	1
						Concern over problems with Carlisle Academies	6
						Specialisms are only appropriate at colleges and universities	1
						Teachers create good standards of education not buildings	1
						How much has been spent on the consultation	1
						Views of the children should not be considered	1
						Is this merely to safeguard John Hutton's political aspirations	1
						Streaming/banding works better in a large school	1

The numbers shown in each column indicate the number of questionnaires making that (or almost identical) comment.