

## Appendix 6

### Comment 1.

Dear Kim

I found out this morning from a neighbour that there is a proposal to extend the double yellow lines at the Scotland Road end of Thornton Road and today is the deadline for objections to be made to you.

While I have no objections to the extension of double yellow lines per se, I do have concerns about the inevitable knock-on effect on parking in Dalmeny Road, an unadopted road currently used as a car park by residents of both Thornton Road and Rosebery Road. Extending the yellow lines will probably move more of the Thornton Road cars on to Dalmeny Road.

My understanding is that Dalmeny Road is an unadapted road and as such there is a public right of way but NO right to park. Because of the number of vehicles that park on both sides of Dalmeny Road, all through traffic is using the very centre of the road and the surface of the road is unsuitable for the amount of traffic it is getting, including refuse collection vehicles and delivery vehicles.

Half way along Dalmeny Road is the collection point for all the waste bins from this side of Rosebery Road and, I am told, both sides of Thornton Road. Many residents leave their bins on Dalmeny Road from one collection to the next, adding to the congestion.

Additionally, my neighbours park their caravan permanently on Dalmeny Road. It has been there now for about 3 or 4 years. It moves once a year when they take it on holiday but the rest of the time it is effectively a static caravan and my opinion is that it is illegally parked and causing obstruction as well as being unsightly. Many other residents have commented on the inappropriateness of a permanently parked caravan in a residential area.

I would respectfully request that you send someone to assess the situation on Dalmeny Road - not during the day when many residents have taken their cars to work but any evening or weekend.

Yours sincerely

Rosebery Road

## **Objection 2.**

Dear Sir / Madam,

Thank you for your letter dated 8th March 2019 regarding the above, after reviewing the proposal I wish to object to the introduction of the proposed double lines based upon the following:

- 1) Safe access to and from Thornton Mews onto Thornton Road would have been considered as part of the planning permission to construct this development including visibility was considered acceptable at the time of approving the development without the need for double yellow lines.
- 2) The volume of traffic travelling up and down Thornton Road has not significantly increased since the development has been completed, in fact with the closure of the Spar on the corner of Thornton Road/Kingstown Road the traffic volume, size of vehicle and speed of vehicles has reduced.
- 3) Since the time of Thornton Court opening the number of vehicles parking on Thornton Road has increased and introducing double yellow lines will reduce the already limited parking.
- 4) There is already a white line across this junction limiting parking across and to the side of the junction, this must have been deemed an acceptable measure to aid visibility at the junction, as stated above nothing has changed to warrant the introduction of double yellow lines at this location.
- 5) Any resident purchasing a property within Thornton Court would / should have made themselves aware of the exit / egress at this junction.

Regards

Thornton Road

Carlisle

### Objection 3.

Thornton Road

Carlisle

CA3

Dear Sir / Madam

#### Your Reference : KB/4.4.1016/15.001445

Further to your letter of the 8<sup>th</sup> March 2019, We have to strongly **Object** to the proposal of implementing double yellow lines either side of the entrance with Thornton Court.

The residents of Thornton Road raised numerous concerns about the Thornton Court development at the planning stage, but unfortunately planning permission was granted and the build went ahead over an extremely lengthy 5 year period causing significant disruption to residents.

Sadly these concerns have been warranted and the development continues to cause disruption to Thornton Road residents and poses a danger to Thornton Court residents.

The entrance to Thornton Court is too narrow and too low for emergency vehicles i.e. fire engines and ambulances. An ambulance was recently called to the properties and had to park in the middle of Thornton Road and walk in to the Court.

Refuse trucks cannot gain access through the entrance so bins and recycling bags belonging to residents of Thornton Court are left on Thornton Road to be collected.

Residents and visitors of Thornton Court park on Thornton Road taking up limited parking space on the street. Removal and delivery trucks also have to park on Thornton Road as they cannot get through the entrance to Thornton Court causing the street to be blocked.

In addition to the disruption caused by Thornton Court there are numerous vehicles at least 6 at times belonging to residents of Scotland Road who use Thornton Road for parking. When residents' parking was suggested for the street we were advised it could not be done as there was no capacity to enforce it, which makes me question why you are keen to look at this request for Thornton Court.

There is a lack of parking/limited for residents on Thornton road as it is and the proposed double yellow lines would take away at least 3 of these spaces.

There is also the issue with people using the street as a car park during the day and walking into work which again causes problems for the residents, limiting parking spaces.

As the Spar is now closed there is no reason for the street to be used by anyone other than residents and visitors. There is no issue with cars speeding on the street and even with cars parked either side drivers should be aware of other vehicles on the street and can enter and exit the entrance without difficulty.

However as planning permission was granted before the Spar closure, why was this not a consideration then, as the road is now used by fewer vehicles and if the access was thought to have been a potential issue, given that cars have always parked on this part of the road perhaps it

highlights a flaw in the access rather than trying to create a problem for the Thornton Road residents.

The entrance to the development and the impact on residents of Thornton Road should have been considered at the planning stage.

I am sorry to have to be so negative about the development but feel the concerns of the Thornton Road residents were never fully considered at the planning stage and we need to ensure we are not further inconvenienced by this latest proposal.

Yours

**Objection 4.**

Hi Kim,

I'm responding to the proposal for yellow lines on Thornton Road around the entrance to Thornton Court.

I would like to object to this proposal.

Parking on Thornton Road was already difficult before Thornton Court was built, and since its construction we have lost a couple of parking spaces. I'm informed that the access to Thornton Court that was finally created, was a deviation from the original planning application - you will be better informed on that than me!

The proposal would further reduce the available parking for residents of Thornton Road, creating issues that cascade further down the road, causing problems for people some distance from Thornton Court.

I can't see what the issue is, I've seen many large vehicles enter and exit from Thornton Court at various times of day and night without difficulty, so why is this deemed necessary?

With other issues like the appalling conditions of the road surface on Dalmeny Road and the almost impassable nature of the road at the foot of Thornton Road through to Rosebery Road, parking is becoming an increasingly difficult issue for residents, this proposal will only make things worse.

Yours,

Thornton Road, Carlisle

## **Objection 5.**

Dear Kim,

Thank you for the opportunity to make a comment on the above proposal.

I agree something needs to be done to improve the safety of Thornton Rd, especially the children and elderly, who often have to resort to walking on the highway due to obstructions on the pavement.

However I disagree that eighteen metres of double yellow lines at Thornton Court will address the safety issue, it will only impact on making parking more difficult, causing overflows into other streets nearby, moving the hazard to another part of an already congested street.

No one listened when Thornton Rd residents warned the Planning Dept, that Thornton Court plans would create a visibility difficulty on entry and exit.

We all must find a way to address the parking problem, everyone would ideally wish to park outside their homes, we need to find a way to obtain respectful parking.

A major issue is :-

- \* people bringing work vehicles home, this is a National problem, businesses used to have depots for their vehicles.

- \* multi vehicle ownership should be discouraged under the Government Clean Air Strategy May 2018 .

- \* caravan owners who store their vans from one year to the next on a public highway purely for their own convenience, should be prosecuted under regulation 103 of 1980 road vehicle act for causing an obstruction.

We just need to encourage respect and common sense. The Government is trying to encourage Local Councils especially in (Conservation Areas such a Thornton Rd) to adopt the use alternative methods to control parking without the use of " ugly road signs and painted lines". Lets try to preserve and enhance!

Please take a good look at our road after 5pm at night, you will then have a much more informed view of our parking situation.

Double Yellow lines aren't the answer. Please give serious thought to my comments!

Thornton Road resident for 39 years.

**Objection 6.**

Dear Mr Evans,

I wish to object to the proposal of introducing a new No Waiting at Any Time Restriction in Thornton Road, Carlisle KB/4.41016/15.001445. Under the original plans for the building of the properties there was no indication of double yellow lines outside the flats.

I do not think this is necessary for the vehicles exiting the property which under any circumstances has to be done very slowly.

There was ever any restrictions when the area of the new flats were used by a plumbing business which had frequent builders vans exiting the same area. This worked perfectly well during the 30 years I have lived in the street. Nor were there any restrictions for the short road behind the Scotland Road properties which was also used as a parking place for those residents. Again this was a similar exit as the one used currently by the flats.

I would also like to highlight the already congested parking for residents in Thornton Road and adding further extra double lines at the top of the road would only increase the pressure for residents parking further down the street.

With kind regards

Thornton Road, Carlisle CA3

**Objection 7.**

Re FORMAL CONSULTATION :Thornton Road - Proposal to introduce new No Waiting At Any Time Restrictions (double yellow lines)

Ref: KB/4.4.1016/15.001445.

Dear Kim Baxter

I do not think No Waiting At Any Time Restrictions At this junction are necessary. I do not believe there is a "visibility" problem drivers should in my opinion "proceed with caution". Thornton Court exiting on to Thornton Road is not the only junction on the street. I reiterate drivers should "proceed with caution"

Thanking you for the opportunity to express my opinion.

Yours sincerely

**Objection 8.**

Dear Madam,

I refer to the above application. I wish to object to it. Thornton Road is a quiet residential street and would be marred by the introduction of double yellow lines. The road itself is narrow and cars exiting onto Thornton Road have sufficient visibility. If they didn't then presumably this would have been taken into account when the original planning application was granted.

Regards

Thornton Road CA3

## **Objection 9.**

Dear Mr Evans,

I wish to object to the proposal of introducing a new No Waiting at Any Time Restriction in Thornton Road, Carlisle KB/4.41016/15.001445. Under the original plans for the building of the properties there was no indication of double yellow lines outside the flats.

I do not think this is necessary for the vehicles exiting the property which under any circumstances has to be done very slowly.

There was ever any restrictions when the area of the new flats were used by a plumbing business which had frequent builders vans exiting the same area. This worked perfectly well during the 30 years I have lived in the street. Nor was there any restrictions for the short road behind the Scotland Road properties which was also used as a parking place for those residents. Again this was a similar exit as the one used currently by the flats.

I would also like to highlight the already congested parking for residents in Thornton Road and adding further extra double lines at the top of the road would only increase the pressure for residents parking further down the street.

With kind regards

Thornton Road, Carlisle CA3

## **Objection 10.**

Dear Kim

I am responding to letter received from: double yellow lines on Thornton Road.  
(KB/4.4.1016/15.001445.)

I am responding again having already replied to note my objection during your previous informal consultation.

I note again that the introduction of traffic and parking restrictions at the location is completely unnecessary and in a time of austerity a waste of money. Speeds on Thornton Road are extremely low due to the narrow width of the road therefore negating any need for increased visibility splats. To my knowledge and that of other local residents, I have consulted, there have been no safety incidents of which we are aware. I believe there are no visibility issues when turning out of Thornton court as demonstrated by lack of any safety incident having occurred. Please feel free to provide me with data from Cumbria constabulary or minutes from the area CRASH group that provides evidence of safety concerns or a history of road traffic collisions at this location should the evidence from these sources differ from my understanding of the situation on the ground. There are already advisory lines which are adhered to so wonder what difference the yellow lines will make.

It appears to me given the slow uptake up of purchases at this location that your proposal is in response to the request from the developer seeking to market the properties rather than a response to legitimate safety concerns. Therefore somewhat at odds with the spirit of the highways act and risk based approach enshrined within " well managed highways - a code of practice". I would prefer the money to be spent on children's services which provide for the most vulnerable children in our county rather than on the whims of developers.

I appreciate your time in this matter & would appreciate an email to say you have received this objection.

Yours sincerely

## **Objection 11.**

Hi Kim

I would like to object to the proposed implementation of double yellow lines outside Thornton Court on Thornton Road. Most residents objected to the building of Thornton Court as traffic and parking was already an issue on our small residential street. To place double yellow lines where they are proposed will decrease the amount of parking and cause it more issues. Nobody parks on the immediate entrance as it is so there's no need for the double yellow lines. Indeed when the spar was open and we had the truck parking on the street each day traffic was held up and this worsened when we had the construction traffic for Thornton court being built. To now cause the residents yet more inconvenience on a street we have all occupied for some time is just not on. We all pay our council tax and see very little for it as it is; this is just an unnecessary waste of taxpayers money!