

COUNTY COUNCIL LOCAL COMMITTEE FOR EDEN

Minutes of a Meeting of the County Council Local Committee for Eden held on Tuesday, 23 March 2021 at 10.30 am at

PRESENT:

Mr P Dew (Chair)

Mrs PA Bell
Mrs HF Carrick
Mr A Connell
Ms C Driver

Mr N Hughes
Mr T Wentworth Waites
Mr D Whipp (Vice-Chair)

Also in Attendance:-

Mr N Butcher	-	Local Area Network Manager (Eden)
Mr N Wright	-	Area Manager - Eden
Miss L McClellan	-	Traffic Management Team Leader - Eden
Mr K Crawley	-	Traffic Management Team Leader Carlisle
Ms C Beckett	-	Public Health Locality Manager
Ms K Bradburn-Sims	-	Community Development Officer
Mr C Barry	-	Targeted Youth Support Officer (Participation)

PART 1 – ITEMS CONSIDERED IN THE PRESENCE OF THE PUBLIC AND PRESS

69 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Ms H Fearon.

70 DISCLOSURES OF INTEREST

Mr N Hughes declared a non-pecuniary interest in Agenda item 7 in relation to the Carlisle and Eden Citizens Advice Bureau as he used to volunteer for them.

71 EXCLUSION OF PRESS AND PUBLIC

RESOLVED that, the press and public not be excluded from the meeting during consideration of any items of business on the Agenda.

72 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

There was no public participation for this meeting.

73 MINUTES

Corrections:

Page 7: Nicola Parker – Infrastructure Planning Manager be added to the list of attendees.

Page 11, Minute 62, Resolution, 4th line to read ‘additional revenue to support the traffic management costs of the Appleby Horse Fair’.

RESOLVED that, subject to the corrections above, the minutes of the meeting held on 20 January 2021 were agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

74 PRESENTATION: EDEN YOUTH COUNCIL

Members received a presentation from the Targeted Youth Support Worker on the Eden Youth Council (EYC).

The presentation covered the following:

- Eden Youth Council: The Youth Voice Group for Eden
- The current team
- Group Outcomes 2020
- Group Outcomes (so far) 2021
- Cumbrian youth votes in Make Your Mark votes over time and across all issues
- Cumbrian youth votes for Mental Health over time

Following the presentation, the Chair talked positively about his work with EYC. He explained that he had attended Eden Youth Council’s meetings since he became a County Councillor in 2017 and commented on the exceptional calibre and skill set of the members of EYC. He reported that the members of EYC had recently met with the Committee’s Children and Young People’s Working Group. He was pleased to champion EYC.

After talking about mental health and extolling the virtues of a young person in his division who was excelling in journalism particularly relating to mental health, a member expressed how important youth clubs were in Eden. He offered to speak with EYC on the ‘Free University’. He referred to the lack of public transport in the Eden area. He asked the Targeted Youth Support Worker to outline the aspirations of EYC and asked what the Committee could do for young people in Eden.

The Targeted Youth Support Worker outlined the ‘Make Your Mark’ Ballot process and commented that transport was an issue for young people. He explained how the Ballot issues were identified and that EYC’s work focussed on the top three issues. He highlighted that young people in Eden voted differently depending on their location in Eden. The Chair welcomed that the issues were driven by young people.

A number of members reported on their engagement with EYC and commented positively on the talents of its members. One member expressed the importance of drilling down information to a local level in order to understand local variations in Eden. This would help members connect with local young people and understand what was important to them. She asked that the 'Make Your Mark' ballot results be compared to the results from around the country so differences could be identified.

The same member expressed her surprise that Domestic Violence was positioned so high up on the 'Make Your Mark' ballot results. She queried whether this was due to a lack of support or because this was being reported more frequently. She asked that this be explored further.

The Targeted Youth Support Worker thanked the Committee for engaging with young people as he encouraged them to engage with decision makers. He explained how in 2021, data had been collected centrally due to the COVID 19 pandemic and considered that drilling information down to a local level would be beneficial and would be considered by his team following discussions with the British Youth Council. He was pleased to report that he was meeting with the Senior Manager - Health and Care Integration to look at the issue of domestic violence in more detail.

The Chair reported on a recent Children and Young People's Working Group meeting with EYC where physical education in secondary schools had been raised as an issue. This was being considered as a potential strategic project by the Working Group.

A member highlighted that a number of the issues considered to be important by young people were not discrete and were linked.

After explaining how impressed she was with EYC members, a member considered that the success of the Local Committee working with young people was down to listening closely to what young people wanted. Understanding the root cause of why domestic violence was so high up on young people's list of issues was critical. She considered it important to build on the trust built up with young people, understand their priorities and ensure that the Local Committee did not under deliver.

In highlighting the successes of working with young people, the Targeted Youth Support Worker expressed his wish to continue engaging with young people and hoped the current momentum continued. He commented on the restrictions due to the COVID 19 pandemic and hoped that things would improve after restrictions were lifted.

The Chair thanked the Targeted Youth Support Worker for his presentation. He highlighted that the Committee had awarded EYC a grant in order to empower young people to engage digitally. He hoped that in the future, the Committee could engage digitally with EYC. The Targeted Youth Support Worker was invited to return to a future meeting. This was welcomed by the Targeted Youth Support Worker who looked forward to sharing more EYC outcomes with members.

75 AREA PLANNING IN EDEN

A report by the Executive Director – Corporate, Customer and Community Services was considered which brought together information from across the community teams including community development and services as well as public health. The report provides Local Committee with an update on the work of each area, identified activity against priorities, highlights current issues and provides an overview of the budget position.

The Area Manager presented the report. He referred to the first anniversary of the COVID 19 Pandemic lockdown and added that there was optimism now due to the vaccination programme. The response to the pandemic continued to be a critical work stream for the Community Team. An increase in the number of people added to the shielding list had not led to a significant demand for practical support via the County Council's support structure. Members were informed about the Area Planning Activity undertaken by the Team which included member attendance at an area planning and budget workshop on 23 February where £5,000 had been recommended to be awarded to Citizens Advice Carlisle and Eden, a youth employment hub and Cumbria Council for Voluntary Service.

A briefing was given on the business being undertaken by the Alston, Penrith, Appleby, Kirkby Stephen and Eden Lakes area planning groups. A detailed report was given on the business conducted at the Local Committee's working groups. Members were informed that going forward, there would be a regular Public Health and Wellbeing update for members in the Area Planning in Eden report.

A member referred to the Eden Area Plan's 'access to services' priority. She considered that the report did not refer to this and stated that as the end of lockdown was approaching, everyone was mindful that grants for transport services may be ending. Members were informed about the Cabinet review on transport for the future. The member referred to the request for a report on bus services in Eden adding that this was now an important piece of work for the Committee as improvements could not be made until there was an understanding of the current position. She expressed her concerns about the public's access to transport services after lockdown.

The Area Manager advised the Committee of the legal advice confirming that Local Committees were now able to award grants to charitable transport services under community investment grant criteria. He commented on the project work that would be undertaken with the Rural Issues Working Group to identify transport issues and how the Committee could take the matter forward and this would be reported to a future meeting of the Committee. A member asked that all members had an input into this work as the issues affected all of Eden.

Mr N Hughes declared a non-pecuniary interest in the discussion relating to the Carlisle and Eden Citizen's Advice Bureau as he used to volunteer for the organisation.

A member referred to £50,056 of unallocated resources and expressed his embarrassment that although there were needs in the Eden area, funds remained unallocated. He referred to the number of unspent member divisional grants. He asked that Eden Health and Wellbeing Forum take the subject of mental health seriously, especially that of young people. He asked that a full response be received on the steps taken in conjunction with the NHS on mental health. He was pleased to report on the successful meeting with Shap Parish Council to discuss area planning principles and congratulated officers on their work on this matter. The member asked for a date when further details on the proposals for the Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) would be presented to the Committee. The member referred to public transport issues in Eden and asked that the work on this was expedited.

The same member talked about the award of £12,000 for a Universal Service in Kirkby Stephen (recommendation 3.9) and highlighted that the 106 bus partnership was struggling to retain its service provision. He commented on it being an indispensable and excellent service. Mr Hughes moved that the grant to Kirkby Stephen Youth Project be reduced to £8,000 and the remaining £4,000 be vired to support the 106 bus partnership.

The Area Manager provided clarification on the current budget position. He explained that the £50,056 unallocated resources reported to the Committee were as at the end of February 2021 and, following significant efforts by the community team to responsibly apply the committee's budget on committee priorities, this figure would be significantly reduced following this meeting.

With specific reference to the 11-19 ring fenced budget carry over, this was due to some Penrith services not as yet being at the appropriate funding stage. He explained that the action of vireing the budget, as stated in the motion, would need to be confirmed by Finance as to whether this was permitted with the budget which may be ring fenced for 11-19 universal service provision. He stated that the development of the LCWIP was at an early stage so information would be brought to the Committee as soon as it was available.

The Public Health Locality Manager advised that she would be attending the next Eden Health and Wellbeing Forum and there would be a complete review of all its priorities at that meeting. Mental health would be discussed and it would be ensured that there would be an agreement of what the term meant so everyone had an understanding of the issue. This would then be reported back to the Committee.

The Chair referred to member divisional grants. He advised that these had all been committed but appeared to be unspent due to the time lag in reporting to Committee. The Area Manager provided clarification that once the budget decisions had been made by the Committee at the meeting, then the money would no longer be unallocated. He highlighted the budget allocation work undertaken by members on this issue at a Local Committee workshop in February 2021.

The Chair talked briefly on his role as volunteer bus driver in his electoral division and expressed his understanding of the challenges local bus services were experiencing. An explanation was provided by the Chair on his understanding of

what the Control Outbreak Management Fund (COMF) could be spent on: for individuals struggling through the pandemic rather than supporting bus services. He referred to the motion and considered that using the 11-19 Universal Services budget for supporting community bus services was not appropriate, it should be used for youth provision. He advised that there was money in the Concessionary Travel Scheme which remained unspent and this money should be kept in mind when consideration was given to supporting community bus services.

In light of the fact that it was currently unknown whether the budgets could be vired, Mr Hughes emphasised that he was not disagreeing that the Kirkby Stephen and Alston 11-19 Universal Services should be supported.

Mr Hughes highlighted that as the Chair drove a community bus, he may have a conflict of interest if he made a decision on this item at the meeting. He referred to young people who would welcome support for transport in the area supported by the 106 bus service. In acknowledging that advice would need to be obtained on the ability to vire money between budgets, Mr Hughes referred to his motion and amended it to 'Subject to any contrary legal advice being obtained before the next meeting, the grant to Kirkby Stephen Youth Project be reduced to £8,000 and the remaining £4,000 be vired to support the 106 bus partnership. This change was seconded by Mrs Bell.

Mrs Bell advised that upon investigation, it had been confirmed that COMF funding could have been spent on supporting charitable transport services but this had not been supported by Eden Local Committee. As seconder, she asked the Mover of the motion if it would be more appropriate to grant £8,000 to Kirkby Stephen and retain £4,000 until it was established whether the budgets could be vired. This would allow the project to progress whilst holding £4,000 back for potential support for the 106 bus service.

Mr Hughes agreed a change to his Motion to read 'Members agree an allocation of £8,000 to initiate a Universal Service in Kirkby Stephen and £4,000 not committed be earmarked to supporting the 106 Penrith to Shap, Tebay, Kendal Service on the understanding that legal advice permits virement of this funding for that purpose'. Mrs Bell supported this change.

A member expressed her concerns on the motion and considered it to be potentially damaging and confusing. She considered that it would be more equitable to use funding from across the entire 11-19 Universal Services budget recommendations in the report rather than only the allocation for Kirkby Stephen. She provided her opinion on the suggested conflict of Interest of the Chair as a community bus driver. The member talked about member budget decisions made in 2014 by the proposer of the motion which were now impacting on transport provision. The member talked about the proposed funding reduction identified in the motion and asked that members be mindful of a number of County wide budgets which were underspent and being transferred to the Council's central reserves. She reminded members of their support earlier in the meeting for Eden Youth Council and highlighted that a priority for the young people was places to go and things to do however, in this item, directly following that discussion, a reduction in funding for 11-19 Universal Service had been proposed.

The Chair commented on the proposed reduction for funding youth provision in Kirkby Stephen and highlighted the rurality of the area. In referring to the suggestion that the Local Committee support bus services, he highlighted the advice from the Chief Legal Officer that community grants would be available but that due process should be followed and an application would need to be made for a grant.

The Chair asked for clarification that he did not have a conflict of interest in voting on the recommendations. He advised that he was a volunteer bus driver for the Westerndale Bus and explained the route was different to the 106 bus service. He added that he was Local Member for Kirkby Stephen and the reduction in 11-19 Universal Service would be a reduction of funding in his Electoral Division.

An adjournment took place for ten minutes (12.00 – 12.10) whilst clarification was sought on the Chair's interest.

During the adjournment the Chair was called away on a family emergency. When the meeting reconvened, the Vice Chair was called to Chair the meeting

Vice Chair in the Chair.

Mr Connell asked if the mover of the motion would withdraw it given that Mr Dew was not in the meeting. Mrs Bell, as seconder of the motion queried if the item could be delayed until the next meeting. Mr Hughes was agreeable with this course of action. The Area Manager suggested that all recommendations apart from those pertaining to the 11-19 Universal Service budget be voted upon.

Mr Hughes asked if an award of £8,000 to Kirkby Stephen could be considered in order for the project to move forward. The Area Manager considered that it would be more prudent to consider the 11-19 Universal Service budget in its entirety, carry these recommendations over to the next meeting in order for further work to be undertaken on the matter and allow Mr Dew to take part in the discussions relating to his electoral division. Mrs Bell supported the Area Manager's proposed course of action.

Following a review of what was being proposed that the Committee should decide at the meeting, Mr Hughes advised that he was supportive of the recommendations as set out in the report except for the award to Kirkby Stephen (3.9 in the report) and this decision be held over for consideration until the next meeting.

Mrs Bell proposed that recommendations 3.9 and 3.10 be considered at the next meeting.

As Local Member, Ms Driver was invited to speak on the potential impact of the 11-19 Universal Service allocation in Alston being postponed. The Community Development Officer advised that Kirkby Stephen would be impacted mostly if a decision would not be made but that determining the decision at the next meeting would not be significantly detrimental to the projects. Ms Driver commented on the current position of the Alston project and supported the motion. Mr Hughes supported the motion to carry over recommendations 3.9 and 3.10 as set out in the report, to the next meeting.

It was clarified that members would be voting at the meeting on recommendations 3.1 to 3.8 and 3.11. Recommendations 3.9 and 3.10 would be determined at the next meeting of the Committee.

A member highlighted that the Appleby area planning group had not set a date for another meeting, this was only being considered.

The Chair put the recommendations as set out in the report, but excluding 3.9 and 3.10 to the meeting for agreement and as there was no dissent, it was,

RESOLVED that,

- 1 Members note the budget allocations for 2020-21 and the commitments and expenditure recorded to date.
- 2 Members note the provisional unallocated resources in the 2020-21 Communities Revenue Budget of £50,056 as at 28 February 2021.
- 3 Members agree an allocation of £6,407 for Cumbria Family Support to deliver a Covid-19 recovery project as described in paragraph 4.11 of the report.
- 4 Members note an allocation of £5,000 to Citizen's Advice Carlisle and Eden (CACE) to extend the additional employment advice and support as described in paragraph 4.12 of the report.
- 5 Members note an allocation of £5,000 towards a partnership project with the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) and Eden District Council to enable the development of Youth Employment Hub for Eden as described in paragraph 4.13 of the report.
- 6 Members note an allocation of £5,000 to Cumbria Council for Voluntary Service (CVS) to enable year 2 of the armed forces link project as described in paragraph 4.14 of the report.
- 7 Members note 0-19 a funding allocation of £2136.40 to Stainton Cricket Club as described in paragraph 4.29 of the report.
- 8 Members note the allocations of £4,000 for service development and delivery in Alston, Appleby, Kirkby Stephen and Penrith for the 2020/21 11-19 Universal Service fund as described in paragraph 4.30 of the report.
- 9 Members note the area planning update contained between paragraphs 4.5 to 4.36 of the report.
- 10 Recommendations 3.9 and 3.10 as set out in the report be carried over to the next meeting for determination.

76 LOCAL COMMITTEE DEVOLVED BUDGET ALLOCATIONS

A report was considered from the Executive Director – Corporate, Customer and Community Services regarding the Local Committee Devolved Budget Allocations. The report outlined proposals, following a Local Committee seminar on 24 February 2021, to allocate the communities budget during the year 2021/22.

The Area Manager guided members through the report and advised that members had reviewed the budget lines at a seminar session on 24 February 2021.

A member referred to the population numbers in the Electoral Divisions. She asked that the figure for Penrith East be reviewed due to the increase in new housing developments in the division. The same member suggested a member discussion on how the Environment Fund money would be allocated. The Area Manager confirmed the intention to hold a seminar on this issue and undertook to review the Penrith East population figures.

A member asked if money could be vired between budgets at any time of the year. With reference to the priorities table at Appendix A to the report, the same member asked if priorities could be amended. On the priority table, under the Rural Issues Working Group priorities, the member asked that the first sub priority link be amended to read “integrated public and community transport”. The member referenced Integrated Care Communities, and asked for regular updates on the issue, particularly in relation to mental health. The Area Manager suggested that the proposed change be discussed at the working group and ratified at a future member workshop.

The Chair presented the recommendations to the Committee for agreement. As there was no dissent, it was,

RESOLVED, that

- 1 £24,332 is allocated to Area Planning from the Local Committee base budget.
- 2 £18,000 is allocated to Community Grants from the Local Committee base budget.
- 3 £29,464 is allocated to 0-19 Grants from the Local Committee base budget.
- 4 £10,000 is allocated to 0-19 Strategic development from the Local Committee base budget.
- 5 £9,696 be allocated to the School Crossing Patrol Service from the Local Committee base budget.
- 6 £17,016 be allocated towards 11-19 Universal Services from the Local Committee base budget under the allocations described in paragraph 4.14.
- 7 The decision by Council to allocate an amount of £34,870 towards the Money Advice Service from the Local Committee base budget be noted.

- 8 The allocation of an Environment Fund of £200,000, which is a one-off allocation for 2021/22 only be noted

77 EDEN HIGHWAYS REVENUE BUDGET 2021/22

A report by the Executive Director – Economy and Infrastructure was considered regarding the Eden Highways Revenue Budget 2021/22. Members were requested to determine the distribution of resources among the budget headings within the highway maintenance revenue budget.

The Local Area Network Manager guided members through the report and explained that the Committee was now in a position to finalise the Highways Revenue Budget for 2021/22. He added that for the second year in a row, the revenue budget had increased in line with inflation. He drew members' attention to the allocation of funding and in particular to the Appleby Fair budget. He reminded members of the workshop held where it was accepted in principle that £30,000 would be allocated to provide traffic management for the Fair on the understanding that costs for staff time would be funded from a county wide budget. Consequently, the estimated cost to Local Committee had reduced to £30,000 rather than £50,000.

A member noted that the Committee was advised to allocate the money even though at this current time, the Fair would not take place at its usual time of year, but may take place later in the year. A member asked for clarification as to whether a County Council central budget would cover staff costs. This was to ensure that Eden Local Committee would not need to fund the costs from a different budget. The Local Area Network Manager confirmed that staff costs at £20,000 would be funded from a county wide budget.

A number of members welcomed the explanation of how the Fair would be funded. One member talked about how the cost burden of funding the traffic management costs of the Fair fell to Eden Local Committee only because it was located in the Eden area and highlighted the dilemma that some work in Eden may not be completed due to the necessary allocation of funding to the Fair. However, the member was grateful that the corporate centre had taken on funding part of the associated Fair costs. Officers were congratulated for their efforts in keeping costs to the Committee to a minimum.

The Vice Chair of the Committee was thanked for the work he had done in bringing the Cabinet's attention to this issue. The member considered this to be a good compromise for the people of Eden.

The Chair concurred with the previous member's statement and looked forward to working jointly to reduce costs further.

The Chair put the recommendation to the Committee for agreement. As there was no dissent, it was,

RESOLVED that, the distribution of the devolved highways maintenance revenue budget for 2021/22 as proposed in paragraph 4.3 of the report be agreed.

78 EDEN SPEED LIMIT REVIEWS

A report from the Executive Director – Economy and Infrastructure was considered regarding Eden Speed Limit reviews. The report advised the Local Committee of the representations received during the statutory consultation and advertisement on a number of proposed speed limit changes throughout Eden. This was part of an ongoing Highways Capital programme to implement new and alter existing speed restrictions, where appropriate within the District. A resolution was sought for the proposed introduction of the Order as detailed in Paragraph 3.1 of the report.

The Traffic Management Team Leader – Eden guided members through the report. She highlighted consultation responses for the Armathwaite proposals and the response of officers to the responses was provided.

Mrs Bell thanked the Traffic Management Team Leader – Eden for the work undertaken on the Beacon Edge proposal and highlighted that work continued on other proposals in the area. The Traffic Management Team Leader provided a timetable for the works at Beacon Edge.

A member asked for a speed limit review to be undertaken at Bolton Appleby.

After thanking the Traffic Management Team Leader – Eden for the work undertaken in Alston, Ms Driver thanked the residents of Nentsbury and Nenthall who had campaigned for a speed reduction in their area. Officers were thanked for working closely with the residents.

Mr Wentworth Waites thanked officers for the work undertaken in his electoral division and looked forward to the positive impact speed limits would have in his area.

Mrs Carrick thanked the Traffic Management Team Leader – Carlisle for the work he had undertaken with the Local Committee. She welcomed the work on a buffer zone in her electoral division.

The Chair put the recommendation as set out in the report to the Committee for agreement. As there was no dissent, it was,

RESOLVED that, having taken into consideration the representations which were received during the statutory consultation and advertisement period, and the matters contained in section 122(2) of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, which are more specifically referred to at paragraph 7.2 of this report, the Local Committee agree that “The County of Cumbria (Various Towns and Villages in the District of Eden) (Consolidation and Provision of Speed Limits) Order ><” (“the Order”) be brought into operation, as advertised.

79 EDEN BRIDGES 7.5T WEIGHT RESTRICTIONS

A report from the Executive Director – Economy and Infrastructure was considered regarding Eden Bridges 7.5t Weight Restrictions. The report advised members of representations received in response to the informal consultation to introduce weight restrictions on three bridges in the Eden area, those being Castle Bridge, Mallerstang; Rose Cottage Bridge, Hartley, and Broad Meadows Bridge, Melmerby. The report sought approval from members to proceed to statutory advertisement and consultation as referred to at paragraph 3.2 of the report, and then to bring the Order, into operation should there be no unresolved objections.

The Traffic Management Team Leader – Carlisle guided members through the report and highlighted residents' concerns raised during the informal consultation on the three bridges. As a result of the proposals, further assessment would be undertaken by the Bridges team and inspections would continue to be carried out at Rose Cottage and Broad Meadows Bridges.

A member commented on a number of issues at Askham Bridge in Penrith which related to HGVs hitting the bridge. He asked if there were any plans for strengthening the bridge. The Traffic Management Team Leader – Carlisle advised that this question would be referred to the Bridges Team.

In referring to Melmerby Bridge, a member asked how the bridge condition would be monitored and how would residents be kept informed of future plans. The member highlighted that vehicles using the bridge were heavier than the bridge could support. The Traffic Management Team Leader – Carlisle provided information on the current assessment of the bridge and on the two courses of action being undertaken by the Council to resolve the issues at the bridge. A fuller response would be provided once the work had been completed.

In relation to Broad Meadows Bridge, a member expressed her concern that it was too weak to carry vehicles over 18t, however the recommendation was to take no further action at this time. The member asked what would happen if there was an accident and what was the risk to the County Council. The Traffic Management Team Leader – Carlisle provided a synopsis of the current situation and advised that the Bridges Team considered the risks to be minimal. He clarified that there would be further action in terms of assessment. In terms of both bridges where no further action was proposed, he confirmed that the bridges were currently safe to support the number and weight of vehicles using them.

The Chair thanked the Traffic Management Team Leader – Carlisle for his support to the Committee and wished him well for his retirement on behalf of the Local Committee.

The Chair presented the recommendations as set out in the report for agreement. As there was no dissent, it was,

RESOLVED that,

- 1 Members agree to proceed to statutory consultation and advertisement of a Traffic Regulation Order to introduce a 33 tonnes maximum gross vehicle

weight restriction on the U3420 over the full extent of Castle Bridge, Mallerstang (“the Order”).

- 2 Any unresolved representations received to the Order, referred to at paragraphs 3.2 of the report, will be reported back through the Local Committee process for determination. However, in the absence of any such representations, the Order be brought into operation as advertised, having taken into consideration the matters contained in Section 122(2) of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 which are more specifically referred to at paragraph 7.2 of the Report.
- 3 In respect to Rose Cottage Bridge, Hartley, no further action be taken at this time but that the condition of the bridge continues to be monitored.
- 4 In respect to Broad Meadows Bridge, Melmerby, no further action be taken at this time but that the condition of the bridge continues to be monitored.
- 5 The Bridges Team be asked to provide a response on whether there were any plans for Askham Bridge.

80 LOCAL COMMITTEE CHAIRS AND LEADERSHIP MINUTES

The Chair of the Committee presented the minutes of the Local Committee Chairs and Leadership meeting held on 29 January 2021.

After welcoming the proposal for the creative use of buses which were not being used, a member asked how much input members would have on spending the Rural Mobility Fund. The Area Manager advised that members would be informed on the next steps as soon as the information became available. A member suggested that the Cabinet Working Group on transport provision across the county would likely be the most appropriate place to consider the Rural Mobility Fund.

RESOLVED that, the minutes of the Local Committee Chairs and Leadership meeting held on 29 January 2021 be noted.

81 UPDATE FROM REPRESENTATIVES ON OUTSIDE BODIES

There were no updates on Outside Bodies

82 ACTION LOG

Members reviewed the Action Log.

The Area Manager took the Committee through the Actions on the Action Log and provided an update on each entry. He proposed that all actions be removed.

A member referenced Action 2 and asked that when it was reported in the Area Planning in Eden report, that gaps were identified, priorities were set on factual information and that any progress made was reported.

A request was made for officers to establish the different mechanisms for funding bus routes. The Area Manager highlighted that this information would be gathered as part of area working and transport project work being undertaken alongside the Rural Issues Working Group.

Items 1, 2 and 3 were removed from the Action Log.

RESOLVED that, changes to the Action Log be made by the Senior Democratic Services Officer

83 DATE & TIME OF NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Committee would be held on 24 May 2021 at 10.30am.

The meeting ended at 1.20 pm