

Barrow Variation No. 39 TRO

Consultation Summary

RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION, ADVERTISING OBJECTIONS DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Statutory Consultees

Dalton & Newton Parish Council

- Support all the proposals in Dalton.
- Asked about other parking restrictions which are to be consulted on in variation number 42.

Councillor Mel Worth

- Moor Tarn Lane – I am very pleased to support the proposed extension of the parking restriction on Moor Tarn Lane from Central Drive because when there are cars parked opposite the school traffic flow is impeded especially when there is a bus at the bus stop
- North Scale village at the Crown Hotel – I understand that the purpose of installing double yellows in front of the entrance to the car park of the Crown Hotel is to afford a view to the North when vehicles are exiting. However I suggest that this restriction as proposed really only prohibits parking at the car park exit and should be extended further north as far as the property adjoining the Hotel (No. 54) to give a good two/three car length clearance to ensure visibility to the north.
- North Scale village – I am not opposed to the extension of double yellows from the start of the village up to the property numbered 25. Indeed, I have constantly complained about parking on the existing yellows because this forces vehicles travelling North entering the village to be on the wrong side of the road on a rise and blind bend.
- Enforcement of these parking restrictions is key to the road safety in this area.

Responses

Abercorn Street

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Aberdare Street

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
1	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Does not have a problem with this.• Mentioned inconsistency in sizes of existing disabled bays on the street.• Mentioned an existing bay that is no longer in use.	Disabled bays to be looked and resident of mentioned bay to be contacted to see if the bay is still required.

It is recommended to be implemented as advertised

Ayr Street

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

41 Holker Street

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Andrews Way

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Beckside Road

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
2	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Objects to the proposals• At present it is very difficult parking in front of our property as people who live on Dale Terrace, Ulverston Road and Market Street are also using Beckside Road to park• Putting double yellow lines will take away another 2/3 parking places which will make our parking even more difficult	Proposals are for safety reasons for access and egress out of the junction

It is recommended to be implemented as advertised

Cleater Street

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
3	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Totally agree that they should be put back on.	
4	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Think that it's a great idea• The road access is extremely awkward• Suggestion of a one way	One way listed for future consideration

It is recommended to be implemented as advertised

Cornwallis Street

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Coronation Drive

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Dale Street

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
5	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Strongly object to these plans• See no reason for implementing• Not enough parking places already	Recommendation not to progress
6	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Strongly object to these plans• See no reason for implementing• Not enough parking places already	Recommendation not to progress
7	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Objects to the proposals• Restrictions will impinge negatively on all residents	Recommendation not to progress
8	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Objects to the proposals• States the proposals are both unnecessary and unenforceable• Requests more enforcement of the the double yellow lines at the junction of Dale Street and Ireleth Road	Recommendation not to progress
9	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Objects to the proposals• States there is not much parking on the street• Some residents will be unable to park outside their property• Doesn't think there is an issue with visibility	Recommendation not to progress

10	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to the proposals • Parking is already bad on the street • Believe it will force people to park on the main road • Quiet street, it is safe to get in and out of Dale Street/Waterside. • There is no traffic other than residents who live on the street and people who visit residents 	Recommendation not to progress
11	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly object to the proposals • Difficult enough to park in Dale Street • Encourage people to park up the side street which will block the access to the back street. • Will create more problems in an already crowded street. 	Recommendation not to progress

It is recommended that the restrictions are not implemented.

Devonshire Street

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Duchy Court

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Duke Street

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
12	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to the proposals • Never experienced any problems regarding access and egress or visibility when leaving the lane. • Unable to park their second car. 	Proposals are on safety grounds therefore recommended to be implemented
13	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to the proposals • Always parked outside their house and have two cars and wish to carry on doing so. • There has never been any problems or issues with the parking here. 	Proposals are on safety grounds therefore recommended to be implemented

It is recommended to be implemented as advertised

Elterwater Crescent

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
14	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In agreement with the proposals • Stated that to prevent congestion at the entrance to the Crescent they would suggest double yellow lines on one side i.e.: - from Yewdale Avenue down to No 1 Elterwater Crescent and from Yewdale Avenue down to No.17 Elterwater Crescent 	Recommended to be implemented as advertised
15	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agrees with the proposals • Asks for further restrictions between 19 Elterwater Crescent and 17 Elterwater Crescent 	Recommended to be implemented as advertised
16	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No objection • Proposes additional restrictions to be placed outside between 17 and 19 Elterwater Crescent 	Recommended to be implemented as advertised
17	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the proposals • Proposes additional restrictions to be placed on the corner of 1 Elterwater Crescent and on the corner of 17 Elterwater Crescent to 19 Elterwater Crescent 	Recommended to be implemented

It is recommended to be implemented as advertised

Fairview

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
18	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves the proposals • Request to extend the double yellow lines • Request for a one-way system for Fair View and Cleater Street 	Recommended to be implemented as advertised

It is recommended to be implemented as advertised

Friars Lane

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Garden Terrace

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
19	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to double yellow lines outside their property • Requests residents parking 	Happy for the restriction outside their property to be shortened to the edge of their building but believe without residents parking it wouldn't make the proposals any more robust.
20	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to the proposals • Says they wouldn't be able to park on their driveway because the double yellow lines would prevent them from doing so • Said they are the only residents on the street who will have double yellow lines outside their property • Only time they have vehicles parked in front is when they have visitors and that is generally in an evening. 	Respondent informed that they will be able to park on their driveway. They aren't the only residents to have restrictions outside their property.
21	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agrees with the proposals for 10 metre at the north side of Garden Terrace and the 5 metre at the south side. • Believes that the proposed restrictions on the west side of Hamilton Terrace is too much and requests a shorter restriction. • The opposite side of Hamilton Terrace is fine but could be a little longer. 	Recommended to be implemented as advertised
22	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Broadly in agreement with the proposals as there has been a number of issues with vehicles including, separately, ambulance and fire services getting access to Hamilton Terrace and Glenfield Road. • Concerned that Hamilton Terrace and Glenfield Road are heavily used for on street parking of non-residents and any restrictions would move the parking elsewhere. 	Recommended to be implemented as advertised

It is recommended that the restriction on the west side of Hamilton Terrace to be reduced from 8 metres to 4 metres.

Greenhaume

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
23	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to the proposed order • States there is already nowhere to park • Proposed would cause other disruptions and problems 	Recommendation not to progress
24	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to the proposals • Proposed yellow lines are going to cause many issues for residents and visitors as there is absolutely nowhere else to park. 	Recommendation not to progress
26	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to the proposals • Don't believe that placing yellow lines on one side of the road will solve any issues • It will create issues elsewhere • Visitors will be unable to park. • District nurses and carers will be unable to visit vulnerable and elderly people on the street 	Recommendation not to progress
27	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to the proposals • Residents will inevitably suffer as a consequence 	Recommendation not to progress
28	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In Favour of the proposals • States that discussions happened over a year ago and no unanimous decision from the residents • Concerned that the TRO will force residents to park further up the road which is narrower. 	Recommendation not to progress
29	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In favour of the restrictions on the south side of the road • No opinion regarding the north side of the road. 	Recommendation not to progress
30	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to the proposals • If the yellow lines are installed, I feel this would just heighten the problem of vehicles parked, it would squeeze the already tight space they have now for residents or other, pushing the vehicles further towards Greenhaume Farm 	Recommendation not to progress
31	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to the proposals • Over half the houses have more than one car. Parking on the road opposite is ideal • Family and friends visiting would be an issue with limited parking • District Nurse visiting would be an issue with limited parking. • Knock on effect if they have to park further up the road 	Recommendation not to progress

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delivery services would struggle to park • The back of the houses is not a road and can get very muddy when it rains and not really suitable for cars 	
32	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to the proposals • Believe it will cause further obstructions and disruptions for residents of Green Haume and will likely cause residents to have to park further up the lane. • Asks to review other possibilities to help reduce the parking of non-residents without penalising residents with unrealistic restrictions. 	Recommendation not to progress

It is recommended that the restrictions to not be implemented.

Hawcoat Lane

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Highlands Avenue

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Highlands Grove

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Infield Park

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Ireleth Road

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
33	Residents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would like to write in support of the proposed plans • Access has been a massive problem over the years • We are constantly being blocked in by vehicles or having vehicles obstructing our view as we exit onto the road and have had several near misses as we have to drive out blind. • We also need 24/7 access for large vehicles for the farm unfortunately there have been instances that wagons 	Recommended to be implemented as advertised

		delivering animal feed have not been able to gain access and have not made their delivery, or farm vehicles cannot get passed vehicles parked over the access	
34	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the proposals on the grounds of health and safety • Often has difficulties with access which causes congestion on the main road which could result in an accident. 	Recommended to be implemented as advertised
35	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to the proposals • States that the parking is short term basis • Restrictions will force people to park elsewhere lead to problems there. 	Proposed on safety grounds therefore recommended to be implemented as advertised

It is recommended to be implemented as advertised

Kendall Croft

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Kent Street

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Lawson Street

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Lesh Lane

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

McClintock Street

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Middlefield

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
36	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Does not object• Suggested the grass verges be turned into parking bays.	Recommended to be implemented as advertised

It is recommended to be implemented as advertised

Milton Street

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Moor Tarn Lane

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Nelson Street

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

North Scale

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
37	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Objects to the proposals• No issue with the current restrictions• Further restrictions would cause parking problems and displacement to narrower sections of the road	Restrictions were at the request of the previous

It is recommended that the restrictions to not be implemented.

North Scale outside The Crown

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Oxford Street Junction Clarence Road

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
38	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No objection• Requested a keep clear bar for garage at rear	Recommended to be implemented as advertised

It is recommended to be implemented as advertised

Oxford Street Junction Hawcoat Lane

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Park Lane

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Phoenix Road

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Promenade

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
39	Business	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• State staff that park there• Customers also park there• Suggestion of business permit parking or time limited bays	Additional restrictions are not being considered at this time. Recommended to be implemented as advertised

It is recommended that the restrictions to not be implemented.

Rear Greengate Street and Florence Street

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
40	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• States that the Double yellow lines are more appropriate on the north side of the street.• Or to have restrictions on both sides and speed bumps	Recommended to be implemented as advertised

It is recommended to be implemented as advertised

Sandy Lane

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Schoolwaters

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Spring Grove

No responses received, recommend being implemented as advertised

Winchester Street

Ref No.	RESPONDENT	COMMENT	CCC COMMENTS
41	Resident	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Objects as strongly as possible.• Understands that it would improve traffic flow during working hours.• Restrictions would make it difficult to park near to their property	Proposals are due to safety therefore recommended to be implemented as advertised

It is recommended that the restrictions to not be implemented.