

RECEIVED FROM	RESPONSE
Parent - OBJECTION	<p>I am writing to you regarding the proposed School Streets initiative for Beaconside School in Penrith. I am a parent with a child at the school, and drive to and from school most days.</p> <p>Having reviewed the proposals, I am concerned with the impact that they will have. Whilst I understand the desire to create a safer and more pleasant environment around school, I fear that the proposed initiative will simply move the traffic to smaller side roads, causing increased congestion and the potential for an increase in accidents.</p> <p>The roads being proposed for closure are also used for parking while children are being escorted into school. Closing these roads will mean that parents will be required to find alternative parking on either the routes that remain open, and that will, in my opinion, only cause further congestion and an increase in the potential for accidents along these roads.</p> <p>It is therefore my opinion that the proposals should not be approved.</p>
Parent - OBJECTION	<p>I am emailing in reference to the Proposed Experimental Traffic Regulations Order for the implementation of School Streets around Beaconside School Penrith.</p> <p>After having read through to proposal emailed to parents/guardians from Beaconside School I am staggered by the lack of understanding of what it is already like for parents during the school drop off and collection times. Furthermore, although I understand the need to ensure a safer area around the school for our children, I find the School Streets proposal flawed on many counts as I will detail below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is already a struggle to get parked near the school in order to drop off children in the 10 minute window between doors opening (8.45am) and gates being locked (8.55am), this is made harder should you have more than one child that need to be dropped off. For some parents who need to travel on straight from school to work, it is not possible "participate in active travel to school" even with hearty encouragement from Cumbria County Council. With the proposed traffic order it will mean parking further away from the school and the children in and back to the car in order to travel on. Morning times are difficult and stressful enough with the sheer number of things to remember and organise without then the added pressure on time.</li> <li>2. With all the streets surrounding the school being made into School Streets where are people expected to park? Surely this will make roads such as Oak Road, Brent Road, Barton View, Fell Lane etc more congested as people try to park where they can. Have you been alerted to your proposal and warned of the imminent influx of traffic to their roads and pavements? I believe that with this proposal you are merely moving the issue and encouraging dangerous parking which will, ultimately, put children at risk.</li> <li>3. I would not be comfortable letting my children walk on roads that, according to you, will be "effectively walkways and cycle zones" as you also state that residents will be able to continue to use the road, so too will emergency vehicles and not just "necessary school transport"? It is necessary for me to drive my car in order to transport my children to school before I then continue my journey to work, therefore will my vehicle be deemed as necessary school transport? If not, will there be a designated area for necessary school transport? School Street implies a safer school" but it does not guarantee it and should your proposal go ahead I fear that it will create the opposite as I fail to see how temporarily changing the status of these roads will help any child in learning road safety. It could lead to confusion in children and adults alike?</li> <li>4. The fact that the School Streets Traffic Order is proposed for the 6 months over winter is yet another failing as pavements around the school can be treacherous enough when icy. Granted, the immediate pavements surrounding the school are being replaced, but expecting people to find car parking further away and thus making everyone walk into school on pavements and paths that may not be properly gritted.</li> <li>5. I am sure there will be many Parents who rely on Grandparents for child care which will include drops offs and collections. There will be Grandparents who will not be able to walk longer distances in order to get to the school and so will not be able to attend. The effect of this being with no child care comes a change or reduction in working hours and to the extreme, job loss. Disabled parents may not be able to manage the additional walk to school from wherever they manage to park and so what are they to do? Is it permitted to drive and park on the School Street that is effectively a walkway and cycle zone!?</li> </ol> <p>Already the pressure on parents and guardians during the drop off and collection times is great not that Cumbria County Council will understand this as you first looked into this proposal during the times when traffic was less due to the staggered drop off times due to Covid-19 and have not been back to re-evaluate the situation since the beginning of the new school year where drop off times have returned to normal. The congestion along all the roads surrounding the school is immense, no-one will argue that, and vehicles bumping up on the pavements in order to get past one another (I have witnessed this multiple times only this week!) but I do NOT feel that the proposed traffic initiative is the solution nor does anyone with whom I discuss the matter.</p> <p>I feel an alternative scheme should be considered which would act as a compromise between traffic and pedestrian safety; a One Way System that surrounds the school from the existing one way Pennine Way (which has worked for decades) with a lowered speed limit. This would still provide the necessary parking and access for school but also make the area safer as traffic would only be coming from one direction, which in turn, will help children understand and navigate the traffic themselves. It would also reduce congestion at the junction of Brent Road/Hutton Hill and stop the need for cars to mount the pavements when trying to get past one another going up Brent Road. Should this system be successful then it could be extended down to include Hutton Hill and should definitely be a one way road. This scheme, instead of being confusingly confined to certain times of day would be in place permanently. This surely would cost less and cause less upheaval. A School Street could be made for Eden Moor which would be safer at school times.</p> <p>I hope the above points are clear. To reiterate, I believe that the Proposed Experimental Traffic Regulations Order is impractical and should NOT go ahead.</p>

Resident - OBJECTION

Following recent receipt of the proposed traffic regulation order I am appalled that it is even being consider.  
I lived on Oak road for my childhood and later in my teenage years moved to cold springs park, very close to beaconside. As a former pupil of beaconside school I have seen the pupil numbers there increase and I have not once noticed a 'tra  
I now have two children who attend the school and I have to drop them off at beaconside which is a 1.4 mile journey from our home, a 30-35minute walk with a five year old, a eight year old and bags! As a dental professional and hard working  
work with only a 20min gap between the school drop off and my first patient, there is no time for an active travel to school or even a walk around the block to locate my car which if I may add will have to be undertaken if the proposed traffic reg  
parked further away from school in front of a different local residents house than the one I have to park in front of now along with all of the other working parents or those that can not for one reason or another walk to school regularly. It is not g  
environment it will only move the problem to a different location!. There is a large number of cars who will still have to find a parking location as those that drive do so because they have no other choice!  
In addition my parents who still reside in cold springs park are going to see an influx of cars either parking within their cul de sac or using it as a turning point as parents will not be allowed to carry on up the hill and around from Brentfield way to  
have been considered, hence creating a 'bottle neck' and even more of a hazard with cars having to do u turns!

In all of my time around the scaws estate I have not once heard of an 'incident' involving a school child close to beaconside or in the proposed traffic regulations area, hence I feel it is ludicrous that this is even being considered. I will be extre  
and I hope those that are looking into this approval have attended during school drop off and pick up times to see how idiotic this proposal is.

Parent - OBJECTION

I am writing with regards to the School Streets Proposal for Beaconside School.  
As a parent with a child at the school, I can fully appreciate the nightmare parking problems that arise during drop-off / pick-up times and thoroughly empathise with how this impacts on the residents. In my view, a lot of this is down to the com  
and driving of some drivers.  
Although, I understand the concept of School Street Proposal, I do not feel this is the answer to the problem due to:

- If parents aren't allowed to park of those roads, they will simply find the next nearest roads and do exactly the same. This will simply result in you 'shifting the problem elsewhere' and exactly the same problems will start occurring with those n
- I have rarely seen a Traffic Warden or Law Enforcement Officer on those roads. In my view they should be prioritising the roads, specifically during those times, and start being more proactive in enforcing the driving restrictions around the sc
- Comply with the law/parking on zigzags etc, we can start sending out the correct message that this won't be tolerated
- I appreciate in your letter that you're trying to 'encourage an active travel to school', but that is simply unrealistic for families who do not live nearby or who have strict work schedules to adhere to. This is particularly impactive for those familie
- Childcare who would be kind enough to take time out of their day to collect children from school. For example, for our family, my husband works 12hr shifts and is not often available for school drop off etc. We have no family in the area, and th
- I was to walk my child to school, it would take 20minutes, plus waiting time at the school and then another 20minutes to walk home. I am very lucky that I can do this every now and again, however, I expect my employers would start taking a c
- Every day
- Finally, during the pandemic, the school operated a 'staggered start/end time' of the school day. I fully appreciate that this causes a logistical dilemma for the school, however, this appeared to work well for the availability of parking spaces an
- Compromise that we could investigate/resurrect again?

Ultimately, I don't think this will be resolved without a partnership approach to the problem. It requires further consideration from parents, school, law enforcement as well as the residents.  
As a resident of Howtown, with my drive leading directly to Howtown Bay, I am aware that inconsiderate parking and increased traffic in the area can pose occasional problems for residents and road users. I am also aware that over the years  
identified or found acceptable to local residents. I am therefore surprised and concerned to hear that without a transparent and professional assessment of the nature and extent of the problem, or proper local consultation, there is a very real a  
Rural Clearway along the length of the road between Pooley Bridge and The Hause (and perhaps double yellow lines in Howtown Bay as well) .  
Personally, I am of the view that the measures suggested are disproportionate to the problem, are unlikely to be enforced in such a way as to make them effective, and will create an eyesore for 365 days of the year in an area of outstanding b  
blue signs warning that the road is unsuitable for large vehicles appear to be completely disregarded and signed passing places are regularly used for parking.  
I'd like to take the opportunity to raise the following queries and make some specific comments on the proposal:  
1.Note your letter refers to 'complaints and concerns which have been brought to your attention' regarding parking causing obstruction on the Howtown Road. Can you reassure me that these complainants represent the majority and a cross-s  
2.And can you also reassure me that no measures will be introduced until there has been proper local consultation (ensuring all voices are heard and locals are fairly represented), and until there has been a meaningful assessment of the pote  
and attendant parking restrictions (taking into account considerations such as: issues related to enforcement; potential convenience to road users; inevitable impact on local farm working; implications for health and safety; negative impact of th  
of the area etc)?  
3.I think there are two separate issues to address (parking and congestion on the road) potentially requiring different mitigating approaches.  
In relation to parking in Howtown Bay: Following local consultation in 2018, it was decided not to introduce parking restrictions for a number of reasons considered valid at the time and still relevant. As a resident in the immediate area, I comm  
no cars are parked in the area traffic passes through at higher speeds than when there are cars parked there, posing a risk for participants at the Outward Bound Centre and the increasing number of walkers on the Ullswater Way who are req  
and steamer users.  
In relation to congestion on the road to Pooley Bridge: In my experience, problems do not relate to inconsiderate parking along the road but to the volume of traffic using the road and the unrealistic expectations of road users that the road will b  
The first of these issues could be addressed in part by: asking local residents to arrange for 'click and collect' rather than home deliveries where possible and setting up a parcel collection point in Pooley Bridge; asking local residents to liaise v  
; encouraging camp-site and holiday-let owners to ask their clients to be mindful and respectful of local congestion and parking issues, and to minimise movement along the Pooley Bridge/Howtown road by motorhome.  
And the second issue could be addressed by reminding residents (by leaflet) and visitors (by inclusion of information in guides, notices in visitor centres etc) that travel along narrow country roads requires some 'give and take' between road us  
variables into account when estimating journey times (road conditions, farm machinery, movement of livestock, motorhomes etc) in the same way as they would when planning a journey by motorway or in a city centre.  
I hope you find the above comment useful, and that before measure such as double yellow lines or a 24 hr clearway are introduced (with their required signage and attendant threats of prosecution), you will investigate and consider trialling a r  
appropriate and socially motivated measures.

Resident - OBJECTION	<p>I only found out about the Schools Street Initiative second hand even though, living in Cold Springs Park I am likely to be affected by the proposal. So, first, I suggest that you delay your consultation deadline until you have notified the residents their views on the proposal. The problem, if indeed there is a real problem is caused by parents parking their cars, often thoughtlessly, while they drop off and collect their children. All this Schools Street initiative is going to do is move that park to be Cold Springs Park - hence my irritation that the residents of Cold Springs Park have not been consulted. The roads within Cold Springs Park are unrestricted but cluttering them up with parked cars could cause considerable inconvenience to driveways as well as obstructing Council wagons collecting refuse and delivery trucks needing daily access to the Cold Springs Park Care Home. Indeed, that aside, I can't see that having to walk your children to and from Cold Springs Park will be a safer environment. Furthermore, the entrance/egress from Brent Road and Brentfield Way into Cold Springs is on a corner already made awkward by parked cars belonging to the residents on Brent Road/Brentfield Way (the gap between the map) and an increase in traffic will only exacerbate the situation.</p> <p>I am all for making the school environs a safer place but this proposal just shifts the problem making it arguably less safe and even more inconvenient for parents and residents than it is at the moment so I can see little merit in it. Far better would be to use the land along Brent Road to create a drop off/parking facility for parents.</p> <p>As I said, at the very least the residents of Cold Springs Park should be given a say in the matter.</p>
Resident - OBJECTION	<p>I have never heard of anything so ridiculous since CCC tried to close down the main streets in Penrith earlier this year when lockdown was partially lifted. Why are CCC and certain councillors obsessed with making life difficult for motorists and parents?</p> <p>As a Grandfather of 2 boys aged 5 and 7 who attend Beaconside I travel from Castletown to pick them up 3 or 4 afternoons a week while their parents work. How would you suggest I carry out this duty with this proposal in place ? My daughter has to go to school in the morning and then getting to her work for 09.00. As for 'active travel to school' the boys get plenty of exercise in the evenings and and at weekends with their parents and grandparents without CCC trying to force something unnatural on us.</p> <p>I believe the scheme was dreamt up for areas of London where there is poor air quality but Beaconside is high up a hill with fresh air blowing in from the Lake District fells. The proposal might suit some parents living on Scaws but for anyone else (the lifeblood of the economy of the town) , this would be a total disaster.</p> <p>I REJECT THIS SCHEME TOTALLY.</p>
Resident/Parent - OBJECTION	<p>Following recent receipt of the proposed traffic regulation order I am appalled that it is even being consider.</p> <p>I lived on Oak road for my childhood and later in my teenage years moved to cold springs park, very close to beaconside. As a former pupil of beaconside school I have seen the pupil numbers there increase and I have not once noticed a ' traffic regulation order' in place. I now have two children who attend the school and I have to drop them off at beaconside which is a 1.4 mile journey from our home, a 30-35minute walk with a five year old, a eight year old and bags! As a dental professional and hard working parent I work with only a 20min gap between the school drop off and my first patient, there is no time for an active travel to school or even a walk around the block to locate my car which if I may add will have to be undertaken if the proposed traffic regulation order is implemented. My car is parked further away from school in front of a different local residents house than the one I have to park in front of now along with all of the other working parents or those that can not for one reason or another walk to school regularly. It is not good for the environment it will only move the problem to a different location!. There is a large number of cars who will still have to find a parking location as those that drive do so because they have no other choice!</p> <p>In addition my parents who still reside in cold springs park are going to see an influx of cars either parking within their cul de sac or using it as a turning point as parents will not be allowed to carry on up the hill and around from Brentfield way to school. This has not been considered, hence creating a ' bottle neck' and even more of a hazard with cars having to do u turns!</p> <p>In all of my time around the scaws estate I have not once heard of an ' incident' involving a school child close to beaconside or in the proposed traffic regulations area, hence I feel it is ludicrous that this is even being considered. I will be extremely disappointed if it is approved and I hope those that are looking into this approval have attended during school drop off and pick up times to see how idiotic this proposal is.</p>
Parent - OBJECTION	<p>I am writing with regards to the School Streets Proposal for Beaconside School.</p> <p>As a parent with a child at the school, I can fully appreciate the nightmare parking problems that arise during drop-off / pick-up times and thoroughly empathise with how this impacts on the residents. In my view, a lot of this is down to the congestion and driving of some drivers.</p> <p>Although, I understand the concept of School Street Proposal, I do not feel this is the answer to the problem due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•If parents aren't allowed to park of those roads, they will simply find the next nearest roads and do exactly the same. This will simply result in you 'shifting the problem elsewhere' and exactly the same problems will start occurring with those roads.</li> <li>•I have rarely seen a Traffic Warden or Law Enforcement Officer on those roads. In my view they should be prioritising the roads, specifically during those times, and start being more proactive in enforcing the driving restrictions around the school.</li> <li>•If we all simply comply with the law/parking on zigzags etc, we can start sending out the correct message that this won't be tolerated</li> <li>•I appreciate in your letter that you're trying to 'encourage an active travel to school', but that is simply unrealistic for families who do not live nearby or who have strict work schedules to adhere to. This is particularly impactful for those families who use childcare who would be kind enough to take time out of their day to collect children from school. For example, for our family, my husband works 12hr shifts and is not often available for school drop off etc. We have no family in the area, and the only way I was to walk my child to school, it would take 20minutes, plus waiting time at the school and then another 20minutes to walk home. I am very lucky that I can do this every now and again, however, I expect my employers would start taking a cut from my salary every day</li> <li>•Finally, during the pandemic, the school operated a 'staggered start/end time' of the school day. I fully appreciate that this causes a logistical dilemma for the school, however, this appeared to work well for the availability of parking spaces and I don't see any compromise that we could investigate/resurrect again?</li> </ul> <p>Ultimately, I don't think this will be resolved without a partnership approach to the problem. It requires further consideration from parents, school, law enforcement as well as the residents.</p>
Parent - IN FAVOUR	Hi, my daughter is a pupil at Beaconside Primary School, and I support the proposed School Streets initiative
Resident - COMMENT AND FURTHER IFNO ONLY	<p>I refer to the letter which has recently been sent by Beaconside School Referring to the proposed traffic regulation order around the School.</p> <p>I am a bit confused by the proposals and wonder if you can explain further where parents are expected to park in order to drop children off at school?</p> <p>Surely by closing these roads off just means other roads around the area will be equally as congested thus just moving the problem and inevitably making it worse with people having to turn in the road, to avoid the shut off road?</p> <p>I would appreciate some further clarity around the proposals and what your thoughts are for parents dropping their children off.</p>

Resident - IN FAVOUR BUT MORE INFO NEEDED	<p>Thank you for your correspondence in respect of the above.</p> <p>As a resident of Brent Road, I am very pleased to see the proposed action to prevent parents clogging up the street in front of my home. At present the amount of traffic combined with poor parking makes drop off and pick up times chaotic. I would like to see this implemented at certain times if at all possible as I have difficulty accessing my drive.</p> <p>I am, however, a little concerned that if the scheme is activated this would mean I would be unable to have visitors or deliveries during the designated times.</p> <p>I would also be interested to hear how the measures would be enforced.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing further news in due course.</p>
Resident - COMMENT AND FURTHER IFNO ONLY	See attached Letter
Resident - OBJECTION	<p>Whilst I appreciate what is being done to make it safer around the school it is only going to cause issues in the surrounding roads which will then become unsafe. Most people know as they approach a school to drive accordingly however that is not always the case.</p> <p>A better approach would be to have proper enforcement which I have never seen at the school and staggered times for the kids going and coming from school. This was done during the COVID times when schools were open after lockdown and limited numbers of vehicles at any one time.</p>
Residnet - OBJECTION	<p>After reading the recent proposed measures for the school streets and seeing the plan I believe that this is going to cause more problems for other streets and parking issues for dropping off at the school, it will create a lot more traffic and parking issues for some if not most parents with younger children/babies including myself.</p> <p>Instead of closing Brent road to all school traffic during drop off and pick up times, I believe that making the road a one way route will be better for parents parking, less chaos and problems for all traffic and surrounding houses, as at the moment cars are coming in the other direction due to parked cars which often has people driving on the path to pass. Making it a one way system will prevent this happening and still allow for parents to park near the school entrances.</p>
Resident/Parent - OBJECTION	<p>I am writing this email to say i am against the proposed road closure of the roads at school times , i live on Milner Mount i already have to leave for school (which is 2 mins away) half an hour earlier to get a car park to drop my daughter off for school. If the road will cause massive flooding of traffic along other roads which is going to make school drop off impossible. I think this is such a problematic proposal and going to cause serious issues. There has been no thought for parents who go to school with children. The end of my street is already blocked in a morning due to people leaving their cars and this is going to make it worse. I hope this is going to be reviewed and decided that it is a bad decision. Also the alternative route onto pennine way is not ideal as it means i have to keep driving around looking for a park outside her home due to school parking and often has to park 10 mins from her home until school pick up / drop off has finished. You are going to be adding to the problem nit solving it. A more realistic idea would be to have a car park rather than congregating around the school gates blocking entrances and pathways, this would reduce the amount of people on the path ways</p>
Resident - IN FAVOUR	<p>I am writing in response to your letter regarding the new traffic regulation order, which I totally support.</p> <p>I live at 29 Hutton Hill, opposite Pennine Way and currently try and park my cars on the street, which as you can appreciate is extremely difficult when parents are dropping off or picking up their children, I am in the process of applying for planning permission for a drive, as the precedent has already been set by numerous houses on Hutton Hill.</p> <p>Since removing 2 cars from street parking, especially opposite Pennine Way, are there any grants available to enable me to develop the drive which would obviously contribute to your new traffic scheme. Or if there are no grants, can you support me in getting a drive on the street parking and place them on the drive to the right of my house.</p>
Resident - OBJECTION other alternatives should be considered	Proposed routes direct traffic up Hutton Hill and along Pennine Way this path is a recipe for an accident we have a pedestrian crossing at the foot of Hutton Hill also increased traffic along these two roads will not encourage families to travel as much as is contained by a circle from the foot of Hutton Hill to Brent Road link to Brentfield Way and return to the foot of Hutton Hill.
Resident - IN FAVOUR	<p>As a resident of one of the streets badly affected by the inconsiderate parking of some parents delivering children to Beaconside School, I'd be happy to support this initiative.</p> <p>An improvement in road safety for those children and parents who choose to walk to school, and a corresponding improvement in air and noise pollution, would be most welcome to all who live locally and those who use this particular street to access the school.</p>
Resident - OBJECTION other alternatives should be considered	<p>Thank you for your letter regarding the School Streets Initiative. As a resident of Roman Road and former parent and childminder of Beaconside children I would like to add my views on the proposed initiative.</p> <p>Whilst I welcome an initiative to make the roads around school safer, I am concerned that the proposed plans will shift the problem from Brent Road and Eden Mount and concentrate them more onto Pennine Way and Roman Road.</p> <p>I have witnessed numerous near misses over the years with children crossing the road outside the Pennine Way school exit (and this has often been overlooked with an emphasis on safety around the main entrance on Hutton Hill). The traffic often has to park on the pavement at school pick up/drop off times.</p> <p>The cut throughs to the garages are particularly dangerous with cars parked on the pavements and corners. On more than one occasion cars have driven towards me on the pavement as I have been walking along with multiple small children.</p> <p>As Pennine Way is already very busy during school drop off/pick up times, more parents are already starting to use Roman Road. This is not a major issue at the present, but would become so if there were no longer any alternatives above school.</p> <p>In addition, the Penrith Town Bus service comes along Roman Road at 15.30. There is an unwritten rule amongst residents on Roman Road that all cars are parked on one side of the road to ensure there is sufficient access for the bus and emergency services (as in the past). If further traffic is pushed onto Roman Road, then it is likely the bus will have difficulty getting through.</p> <p>Beaconside is a large school with many entrances. As residents, we must accept there will be increased traffic at certain times of the day. At present it is spread out around the perimeter of the grounds. In my opinion, to concentrate the traffic into one area creates more safety issues for children than alleviate them.</p>
Resident - OBJECTION other alternatives should be considered	<p>Thank you for your request for feedback on proposal to close the roads around Beaconside school at the start and end of the day. As a resident of Hutton Hill I am concerned that this suggestion will create excessive traffic on our street as it is currently allowed without a permit on most of the street and this scheme may encourage illegal/ inconsiderate parking.</p> <p>I agree that safety is the most important thing but I am concerned that the very large number of children not only from Beaconside but also UCc and Qegs walk to school along both Hutton Hill, Roman road and Brent field way from the Scaws and the school.</p> <p>There is a very busy crossing across Brent field way at school times.</p> <p>Another consideration should be speeding of parents driving children to school. It may be tempting for parents running late who can no longer drop off at the door to speed. They already speed quite often not realising it is a 20 zone and there is a 20 zone on Roman road is to remain open yet this is narrow, usually has parked cars on both sides of the road and lots of young children on the street.</p> <p>Diverting traffic simply diverts and concentrates the problem in my view.</p> <p>I think that regular and robust enforcement of existing regulations would be a far more sensible and economical way to resolve this problem eg parking wardens monitoring and fining, speeding tickets, speed indicator signs(like in the villages).</p> <p>How about a walking bus scheme? Parents coming in with cars drop off children at the bus station or alternative location to walk up under supervision with the lollipop lady/ supervisors.</p> <p>It is difficult to see how this scheme could be enforced without cameras which is over the top.</p> <p>I hope that my feedback is of use.</p>

<p>Residnet - Comments and Request for the proposal to be extended</p>	<p>We wish to submit the following comments regarding the proposed ETRO around Beaconside School.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The circulation of the communication regarding this proposal falls far short of what could be considered realistic. We live in Cold Springs Park and have not been formally advised of this proposal. Hence, the timescales to provide a response and the legality of implementing such changes in the suggested timeframe.</li> <li>2. The proposed "Extent of Closed Roads" will introduce significant chaos and congestion in the Brentfield Way, Milner Mount and Cold Springs Park areas at school drop off and pick up times with parents and guardians looking for parking.</li> <li>3. The Care Home at Cold Springs has, for over 30 years, claimed an emergency access requirement via Cold Springs Park. This was widened and upgraded (without approval) following the extension, to which Cumbria Highways and The Police entrance now takes most of the traffic into and out of the home, including emergency vehicles and delivery trucks. This access will be drastically affected by potential additional traffic flow and parking in Cold Springs Park.</li> <li>4. In order to minimize the impact on local residents, could we suggest the proposed "Closed Road" be extended from the junction of Brent Road and Brentfield Way (Cold Springs Park junction) to the junction of Brentfield Way and Pennine Way. This would allow for more residential streets, improve traffic flow and emergency access and improve safety for residents and school users.</li> </ol>
<p>Resident - OBJECTION other alternatives should be considered</p>	<p>Thank you for your letter regarding the school streets Beaconside initiative.</p> <p>The concerns that I would have are</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 Unless the existing zone H parking restriction is extended to include the rest of Brentfield Way and Roman road (which if I recall correctly it was supposed to) all that will happen is that cars will be parked there causing more problems on those roads.</li> <li>2 To prevent bad parking one side of Brentfield Way between its junction with Roman Road to Pennine Way will need double yellow lines as there is a zebra crossing and I have seen bad parking which causes limited visibility at the crossing.</li> <li>3 The existing zone H parking restriction should be changed to residents only to prevent cars being parked on that section of the road, as it's a main thoroughfare crossing that road can be hazardous as cars do not always obey the 20mph restriction speed limit.</li> </ol>